

CK for texter

Corres. and Mail

NMI)

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 EXPEDITED PROCEDURE EXAMINING GROUP 2800

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

First Named

For

Inventor : Alexei V. Galatenko et al.

Appln. No.: 10/719,393

Filed : November 21, 2003

: PROCESS AND APPARATUS FOR

PLACEMENT OF MEGACELLS IN ICS

DESIGN

Docket No.: 03-0937/L13.12-0257

Group Art Unit: 2825

Nghia

Μ.

Examiner:

Doan

RESPONSE AFTER FINAL

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PAPER IS BEING SENT BY U.S. MAIL, FIRST CLASS, TO THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P.O. BOX 1450, ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450, THIS

Sir:

is in response to the Office Action September 6, 2005 in which the rejections of claims 1-20 were made final.

The rejections are unsupported by the cited references and are based on a misreading of the references and/or a misapplication of the references to Applicants' claims.

In each case, the Office Action cites one or more paragraphs of the references as allegedly anticipating a claim Applicants have again carefully reviewed each citation and continue to find that these citations do not anticipate the element and are often entirely unrelated to the element.

"A claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, . . ., in a single prior art reference." Verdegaal Bros. V. Union Oil Co. of California, 814 F.2d 628, 631, 2 USPQ2d 1051, 1053 (Fed. Cir. 1987); M.P.E.P.